UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI





IRB GRAND ROUNDS REPORTABLE NEW INFORMATION

Presented by:
Amanda Coltes-Rojas, MPH, CIP
Director for Regulatory Affairs & Educational Initiatives
Human Subject Research Office

UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI



REPORTABLE NEW INFORMATION (RNI)





Home IRB

Page for Rebecca Simms (pi)

Components

Create New Study Report New Information Shortcuts My Inbox Meetings Reports Help Study Submission Guide

Му	Inbox						
	Filter by ②	ID ·	v		Go	Clear	
	Filter by \bigcirc	Advanced					
	ID	Name	Date Created	■ Date Modified	State	Coordinator	
<u> </u>	RNI00000005	_IRBSubmission - Mon Sep 16 11:32:47 EDT 2013	9/16/2013 11:32 AM	9/16/2013 11:32 AM	Pre- Submission		
<u>F</u>	20130011	UIRB	9/13/2013 12:51 PM	9/16/2013 11:20 AM	Pre- Submission		
<u>F</u>	20130010	test	9/13/2013 11:37 AM	9/13/2013 4:16 PM	Pre- Submission		
ď	20130009	eIRB	9/13/2013 8:53 AM	9/13/2013 1:39 PM	Clarification Requested (Pre-Review)	James Holland (irbc)	
ď	CR00000001	Continuing Review for Study 2013000:		9/12/2013 11:46 AM	Pre- Submission	James Holland (irbc)	
<u> </u>	RNI00000001	RNI Validation Test	9/6/2013 2:45 PM	9/6/2013 2:45 PM	Pre- Submission		
6 it	ems	4	page 1 of	1 >		10 / page	

UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI

IRB Reviewer's Guide









u Are Here: 🥰 _IRBSubmission

< Back

When viewing items in Your Inbox or on the IRB Workspace, RNI Short Title will show up under the "Name" column

List date study team became aware of the RNI

Save | Print..

Continue >>

Reportable New Information

1.	RNI short title: (uniquely identify this new information report)					
2.	* Date you became aware of the information:					

- 3. Identify the categories that represent the new information: (check all that apply)
 - Risk: Information that indicates a new or increased risk, or a safety issue. For example:
 - **a.** New information (e.g., an interim analysis, safety monitoring report, publication in the literature, sponsor report, or investigator finding) indicates an increase in the frequency or magnitude of a previously known risk, or uncovers a new risk.
 - b. An investigator brochure, package insert, or device labeling is revised to indicate an increase in the frequency or magnitude of a previously known risk, or to describe a new risk.
 - c. Withdrawal, restriction, or modification of a marketed approval of a drug, device, or biologic used in a research protocol.
 - d. Protocol violation that harmed subjects or others or that indicates subjects or others might be at increased risk of harm.
 - e. Complaint of a subject that indicates subjects or others might be at increased risk of

RNI includes unanticipated problems, newly identified risks, adverse events (unexpected and probably related), deviations and violations, audit or monitoring results, etc.

RNIs do not include expected or unrelated AEs, IND Safety Reports, Translations, Sponsor Letters without impact on risks, etc.

	 b. A harm is "probably related" to the research procedures if, in the opinion of the investigator, the research procedures more likely than not caused the harm. Non-compliance: Non-compliance with the federal regulations governing human research or with the requirements or determinations of the IRB, or an allegation of such non-compliance. Audit: Audit, inspection, or inquiry by a federal agency.
	Report: Written reports of study monitors.
	Researcher error: Failure to follow the protocol due to the action or inaction of the investigator or research staff. Confidentiality: Breach of confidentiality.
	Unreviewed change: Change to the protocol taken without prior IRB review to eliminate an apparent immediate hazard to a subject. Incarceration: Incarceration of a subject in a study not approved by the IRB to involve prisoners.
	Complaint: Complaint of a subject that cannot be resolved by the research team.
	Suspension: Premature suspension or termination of the research by the sponsor, investigator, or institution.
	Unanticipated adverse device effect: Any serious adverse effect on health or safety or any life-threatening problem or death caused by, or associated with, a device, if that effect, problem, or death was not previously identified in nature, severity, or degree of incidence in the investigational plan or application (including a supplementary plan or application), or any other unanticipated serious problem associated with a device that relates to the rights, safety, or welfare of subjects.
	portant! Information that does not fit into one of the categories above does not need to be reported to the IRB as new rmation.
* B	riefly describe the new information:
	Summary of RNI
In t	he PI's opinion:



UNIVERSITY

4.

5.



a		tion indicate a new or i <mark>lear</mark>	increased	risk, or a	safety issu	e?		
b	. * Does the study ne	eed revision? :lear				Select Yes or N	lo based on PI's rev	iew of the RNI
C.	•	document need revision	on?					
If revisions are required, describe them above and submit a study modification for review.								
6. Relat	ted Studies:							
			Add					
ID	Short Title	Investigator		State	IRB Offic	e		
	There are no items to display Attach files containing supporting information:					affected. (E.g.	ou will be able to list as many studies as an fected. (E.g. PI is involved in multiple stud volving the same drug.)	
Ad	_							
Nam		5V				Unload doouw	anto ao ampropriat	
me	re are no items to displ	ау				Opioau docum	nents as appropriat	e
<< Back			Save	Print				Continue >:



5. In the PI's opinion:



RISK

- Information that indicates a new or increased risk, or a new safety issue. For example:
 - New information (e.g., an interim analysis, safety monitoring report, publication in the literature, sponsor report, or investigator finding) indicates an increase in the frequency or magnitude of a previously known risk, or uncovers a new risk.
 - An investigator brochure, package insert, or device labeling is revised to indicate an increase in the frequency or magnitude of a previously known risk, or describe a new risk
 - Withdrawal, restriction, or modification of a marketed approval of a drug, device, or biologic used in a research protocol
 - Protocol violation that harmed subjects or others or that indicates subjects or others might be at increased risk of harm
 - Complaint of a subject that indicates subjects or others might be at increased risk of harm or at risk of a new harm
 - Any changes significantly affecting the conduct of the research





HARM

- Harm experienced by a subject or other individual which, in the opinion of the investigator, is unexpected and probably related to the research procedures.
 - "unexpected" = specificity or severity is inconsistent with risk information previously reviewed and approved by the IRB in terms of nature, severity, frequency, and characteristics of the study population
 - "probably related" = the research procedures more likely than not caused the harm





Non-Compliance

- Non-compliance with the federal regulations governing human research or with the requirements or determinations of the IRB, or an allegation of such noncompliance.
 - Some protocol deviations fall into this category.





AUDIT

 Audit, inspection, or inquiry by a federal agency and any resulting reports (e.g. FDA Form 483.)

 Not to be used for Office for Research Compliance and Quality Assurance (RCQA) audits.





REPORT

- Written reports of study monitors.
 - Monitoring reports from industry-sponsored studies are not generally required by the IRB.
 - May be required if the investigator is also sponsor.





RESEARCHER ERROR

 Failure to follow the protocol due to the action or inaction of the investigator or research staff.





CONFIDENTIALITY

- Breach of confidentiality.
 - May require additional action due to HIPAA and other applicable regulations.





UNREVIEWED CHANGE

 Change to the protocol taken without prior IRB review to eliminate an apparent immediate hazard to a subject.





INCARCERATION

 Incarceration of a subject in a study not approved by the IRB to involve prisoners.





COMPLAINT

 Complaint of a subject that cannot be resolved by the research team.





SUSPENSION

 Premature suspension or termination of the protocol by the sponsor, investigator, or institution.





UNANTICIPATED ADVERSE DEVICE EFFECT

Unanticipated adverse device effect (any serious adverse effect on health or safety or any life-threatening problem or death caused by, or associated with, a device, if that effect, problem, or death was not previously identified in nature, severity, or degree of incidence in the investigational plan or application (including a supplementary plan or application), or any other unanticipated serious problem associated with a device that relates to the rights, safety, or welfare of subjects.)





REPORTING TIMEFRAME

- Report the information items that fall into one or more of the following categories to the IRB within 10 business days using the RNI form.
- Information that does not fall under any of the categories does not require reporting to the IRB*.





THINGS TO NOTE

- Ability to link RNI to multiple studies
- Ability to link RNI to prior submissions (initial vs. follow-up reports)
 - 'Create Follow-On RNI' activity from within previous RNI





CURRENT REPORTABLE EVENTS

- Internal SAEs
- Protocol
 Deviations/Study
 Violations
- Study Exception Request
- Unanticipated Problem (UPIRTSO)
- Notifications

- Updated Investigator Brochure/Packet Insert
- External SAE/IND Safety Report
- DSMB, Sponsor or Other Reports
- Certificate of Confidentiality
- Translated Consent Forms
- Notice of Grant Award
- Executed Clinical Trials Agreement
- Form 1572





REPORTS AT THE TIME OF CONTINUING REVIEW

- Enrollment summaries
- Summaries of AEs not requiring prompt reporting
- Summaries of protocol violations that do not meet criteria
- Interim reviews/findings
- DSMB, grant progress, or other reports
- Sponsor letters, etc.





THANK YOU!



Amanda Coltes-Rojas, MPH, CIP Director for Regulatory Affairs & Educational Initiatives acoltes@med.miami.edu 305-243-6494



